## PRIVATE TAXPAYER RULING LR97-003

August 5, 1997

The following private taxpayer ruling is in response to your letter dated July 23, 1997. Your letter requests a determination of the application of Arizona's transaction privilege tax to the construction of a staff housing facility at ..., Arizona on the ... Reservation.

The following is a restatement of the facts as presented in your letter.

#### Statement of facts:

... is an Arizona corporation and a tribal organization of the .... ... operates a dormitory complex at ..., Arizona on the ... Reservation. The complex provides room and board for ... children from kindergarten to ninth grade ages. The dormitory is operated under an Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act contract (PL 93-638) with the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA). In conjunction with providing a new dormitory, transportation, and a food service facility for Indian children in the ... community, the ... has been awarded a contract from the BIA to design and construct new staff housing.

The construction project will take place at the Community of ... on the ... Reservation. The construction will be contracted for and administered by ..., authorized by the ... Tribe to engage in this activity. ... is specified as the design and building contractor, but may subcontract the actual construction work to third party contractors and subcontractors. Funds for the construction will be provided to ... by the U.S. Government through the BIA. The design and construction called for under the PL 93-638 contract is subject to federal law and is governed by the Federal Acquisition Regulations.

# Your position:

The construction of the staff housing facility is for the benefit of the ... Community area ... children.

The economic burden of Arizona state tax will fall on ..., since it must reimburse the contractor and subcontractors for payment of Arizona state taxes.

Contractors and subcontractors working on this project should be exempted from Arizona state taxes for the reasons stated in *Ramah Navajo School Board v. Bureau of Revenue*, 458 U.S. 832 (1982).

### **Applicable statutory provision:**

Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) § 42-1310.16 levies the transaction privilege tax on the business of prime contracting.

#### **Discussion:**

Arizona imposes a transaction privilege tax which differs from the sales tax imposed by most states. The Arizona transaction privilege (sales) tax is a tax imposed on the privilege of conducting business in the State of Arizona. This tax is levied on the <u>vendor</u>, not the purchaser. The vendor may pass the burden of the tax on to the purchaser; however, the vendor is ultimately liable to Arizona for the tax.

Generally, persons engaged in the business of constructing, improving or altering real property are subject to the transaction privilege tax under the prime contracting classification. (A.R.S. § 42-1310.16) However, with regard to contracting activities occurring on Indian reservations, the law in this area has been developed through case law, rather than statute. This can make determinations of the tax status of construction projects on Indian reservations difficult.

In Ramah Navajo School Board, Inc. v. Bureau of Revenue of New Mexico, 458 U.S. 832, the U.S. Supreme Court addressed the construction of a school by a non-Indian contractor on the Navajo Reservation in New Mexico. The project was funded by the BIA. The tribal organization was the design and building contractor but could subcontract out the actual construction work to third parties. The Court held that "the comprehensive regulatory scheme and the express federal policy of encouraging tribal self-sufficiency in the area of education preclude the imposition of the state gross receipts tax in this case."

Therefore, due to the decision in *Ramah* and other court decisions, the department has taken the position that construction contractors performing improvements to real property on Indian reservations are not subject to the imposition of Arizona transaction privilege tax under the following conditions:

- 1. The construction work is performed for the tribe or a tribal entity for which the reservation was established.
- 2. The construction work is performed for an individual Indian, who is a member of the tribe for which the reservation was established.

The facts presented in your ruling request and the accompanying documentation describing the construction of the ... staff housing facility are very similar to the facts presented in *Ramah*. ... is a tribal organization established by the ... and authorized by the tribe to engage in the operation of the staff housing facility. The construction of the ... staff housing facility is to be

funded with money received through a contract between ... and the BIA under the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (PL 93-638). This Act establishes a policy which permits a transition from Federal domination of programs for services to Indians to participation by the Indian people in the planning, conduct and administration of those services and programs. Even though the design and construction of facilities under such a contract is subject to federal law, the tribal organization (...) contracts with the contractors and subcontractors performing the work and payment for the work is made by the tribal organization (...).

### Conclusion and ruling:

The following ruling is given based on the facts presented in your request.

The department rules that the construction contract between ... and its prime contractor and subcontractors meets the requirement that construction work on a reservation be performed for the tribe or a tribal entity for which the reservation was established. Therefore, the construction of the staff housing facility in the community of ... on the ... Reservation is not subject to tax.

The conclusion in this private taxpayer ruling does not extend beyond the facts as presented in the letter and related documents dated July 23, 1997, in this request for a private taxpayer ruling.

This response is a private taxpayer ruling and the determination herein is based solely on the facts provided in your request. The determination in this taxpayer ruling is the present position of the department and is valid for a period of four years from date of issuance except as set out herein. This determination is subject to change should the facts prove to be different on audit. If it is determined that undisclosed facts were substantial or material to the department's making of an accurate determination, this taxpayer ruling shall be null and void. Further, the determination is subject to future change depending on changes in statutes, administrative rules, case law or notification of a different department position.